Full-Time, Year-Round (FTYR) Workers Age 16 Years and Over by Senate District: Minnesota 2000

Percentage of Percentage of all female workers all male that workers that Senate District worked FTYR worked FTYR Minnesota 49.0% 66.1% 45.5% 60.6% 02 42.6% 57.3% 03 41.8% 58.7% 04 40.1% 55.3% 05 41.5% 62.8% 06 40.5% 61.4% 07 39.4% 53.9% 08 44.1% 59.5% 09 39.8% 57.2% 10 43.0% 59.0% 11 41.6% 59.8% 44.5% 62.9% 12 13 43.8% 65.0% 14 48.7% 66.1% 15 43.1% 55.2% 49.7% 68.3% 16 17 46.6% 69.4% 18 49.7% 67.5% 50.7% 72.2% 19 20 43.8% 61.8% 21 45.1% 62.8% 22 44.4% 64.1% 23 39.9% 53.6% 24 66.5% 46.9% 25 43.4% 62.4% 26 50.3% 66.5% 27 48.4% 66.0% 28 50.4% 68.6% 29 49.1% 70.4% 30 51.5% 67.9% 31 44.5% 60.3% 55.0% 32 76.4% 33 49.0% 72.4% 34 50.9% 76.0% 35 54.5% 76.7% 36 52.3% 77.2% 37 53.6% 73.2% 38 56.0% 75.9% 39 53.2% 71.0% 40 54.3% 68.3% 41 48.5% 70.0% 42 54.1% 73.2% 43 51.7% 72.2% 44 57.0% 68.0% 45 55.8% 70.9% 46 55.3% 68.6% 47 56.1% 76.4% 48 51.5% 72.3% 49 54.1% 76.2% 50 51.3% 65.8% 51 55.7% 72.7% 52 49.8% 71.3% 53 52.0% 73.0% 54 50.7% 68.3% 55 53.8% 72.4% 56 52.5% 72.5% 57 55.4% 71.6% 58 50.8% 58.0% 59 35.7% 43.4% 60 53.3% 64.1% 61 48.7% 52.1% 62 55.1% 67.5% 63 55.2% 67.7% 64 45.7% 59.9% 65 51.7% 60.3% 46.6% 66 57.9%

Senate District Rankings Full-Time, Year-Round (FTYR) Workers Age 16 Years and Over by Senate District: Minnesota 2000

| | Percentage of all female workers that | | Percentage of all male workers that |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Senate District | worked FTYR | Senate District | worked FTYR |
| Minnesota | 49.0% | Minnesota | 66.1% |
| 44 | 57.0% | 36 | 77.2% |
| 47 | 56.1% | 35 | 76.7% |
| 38 | 56.0% | 32 | 76.4% |
| 45 | 55.8% | 47 | 76.4% |
| 51 | 55.7% | 49 | 76.2% |
| 57 | 55.4% | 34 | 76.0% |
| 46 | 55.3% | 38 | 75.9% |
| 63 | 55.2% | 42 | 73.2% |
| 62 | 55.1% | 37 | 73.2% |
| 32 | 55.0% | 53 | 73.0% |
| 35 | 54.5% | 51 | 72.7% |
| 40 | 54.3% | 56 | 72.5% |
| 42 | 54.1% | 33 | 72.4% |
| 49 | 54.1% | 55 | 72.4% 72.3% |
| 55 37 | 53.8% 53.6% | 48 | 72.2% |
| 60 | 53.3% | 19 | 72.2% |
| 39 | 53.2% | | 71.6% |
| 56 | 53.2% | 57 52 | 71.8% |
| 36 | 52.5% 52.3% | 39 | 71.3% |
| 53 | 52.0% | 45 | 70.9% |
| 67 | 51.9% | 29 | 70.9% |
| 43 | 51.7% | 41 | 70.4% |
| 65 | 51.7% | 17 | 69.4% |
| 30 | 51.7% | 46 | 68.6% |
| 48 | 51.5% | 28 | 68.6% |
| 50 | 51.3% | 16 | 68.3% |
| 34 | 50.9% | 54 | 68.3% |
| 58 | 50.8% | 40 | 68.3% |
| 19 | 50.7% | 44 | 68.0% |
| 54 | 50.7% | 30 | 67.9% |
| 28 | 50.4% | 63 | 67.7% |
| 26 | 50.3% | 18 | 67.5% |
| 52 | 49.8% | 62 | 67.5% |
| 16 | 49.7% | 26 | 66.5% |
| 18 | 49.7% | 24 | 66.5% |
| 29 | 49.1% | 14 | 66.1% |
| 33 | 49.0% | 27 | 66.0% |
| 61 | 48.7% | 50 | 65.8% |
| 14 | 48.7% | 13 | 65.0% |
| 41 | 48.5% | 22 | 64.1% |
| 27 | 48.4% | 60 | 64.1% |
| 24 | 46.9% | 12 | 62.9% |
| 17 | 46.6% | 05 | 62.8% |
| 66 | 46.6% | 21 | 62.8% |
| 64 | 45.7% | 67 | 62.6% |
| 01 | 45.5% | 25 | 62.4% |
| 21 | 45.1% | 20 | 61.8% |
| 12 | 44.5% | 06 | 61.4% |
| 31 | 44.5% | 01 | 60.6% |
| 22 | 44.4% | 31 | 60.3% |
| 08 | 44.1% | 65 | 60.3% |
| 20 | 43.8% | 64 | 59.9% |
| 13 | 43.8% | 11 | 59.8% |
| 25 | 43.4% | 08 | 59.5% |
| 15 | 43.1% | 10 | 59.0% |
| 10 | 43.0% | 03 | 58.7% |
| 02 | 42.6% | 58 | 58.0% |
| 03 | 41.8% | 66 | 57.9% |
| 11 | 41.6% | 02 | 57.3% |
| 05 | 41.5% | 09 | 57.2% |
| 06 | 40.5% | 04 | 55.3% |
| 04 | 40.1% | 15 | 55.2% |
| 23 | 39.9% | 07 | 53.9% |
| 09 | 39.8% | 23 | 53.6% |
| 07 | 39.4% | 61 | 52.1% |
| 59 | 35.7% | 59 | 43.4% |
| | Source | e: Census 2000 Summary File : | 3 (SF3), Table P47 |

51.9% Source: Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF3), Table P47

62.6%

67